## Ministry of Heritage, Sport, Tourism, and Culture Industries

Archaeology Program Unit Programs and Services Branch Heritage, Tourism and Culture Division 401 Bay Street, Suite 1700 Toronto ON M7A 0A7 Tel.: (437) 339-9145 Email: Wai.Hadlari@ontario.ca

## Ministère des Industries du patrimoine, du sport, du tourisme et de la culture

Unité des programme d'archéologie Direction des programmes et des services Division du patrimoine, du tourisme et de la culture 401, rue Bay, bureau 1700 Toronto ON M7A 0A7 Tél.: (437) 339-9145 Email: Wai.Hadlari@ontario.ca



Apr 29, 2021

Randy Hahn (P1107)
Golder Associates Ltd.
F - 101 Artesa Ottawa ON K2S 0J8

RE: Review and Entry into the Ontario Public Register of Archaeological Reports: Archaeological Assessment Report Entitled, "Stage 3 Archaeological Assessment Duncan Site (BfGd-9), Part of Lot 5, Concession 10, Dalhousie Township, Lanark County, Ontario", Dated Feb 9, 2021, Filed with MHSTCI Toronto Office on Feb 17, 2021, MHSTCI Project Information Form Number P1107-0029-2020, MHSTCI File Number 0013076

Dear Dr. Hahn:

This office has reviewed the above-mentioned report, which has been submitted to this ministry as a condition of licensing in accordance with Part VI of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c 0.18. This review has been carried out in order to determine whether the licensed professional consultant archaeologist has met the terms and conditions of their licence, that the licensee assessed the property and documented archaeological resources using a process that accords with the 2011 *Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists* set by the ministry, and that the archaeological fieldwork and report recommendations are consistent with the conservation, protection and preservation of the cultural heritage of Ontario.

The report documents the assessment of the study area as depicted in SD Map 1 of the Supplementary Documentation and Map 1 and Map 4 of the above titled report and recommends the following:

- 1) The Duncan site (BfGd-9) is of sufficient cultural heritage value or interest to warrant mitigative measures through a Stage 4 mitigation of development impacts.
- 2) As complete avoidance of the site is not considered to be a viable option, Stage 4 mitigation would entail mechanical topsoil removal followed by the hand excavation of cultural features. This strategy was developed in consultation with an MHSTCI review officer on September 10, 2020.
- 3) Mechanical topsoil removal will employ an excavator with a flat-edged bucket and follow Standards 2 to 6 of Section 4.2.3 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists (MHSTCI 2011).
- 4) As per Standard 1 of Section 4.3 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists (MHSTCI 2011), mechanical excavation must extend to a minimum of 10 m beyond uncovered cultural features or to the end of the project boundary.

Based on the information contained in the report, the ministry is satisfied that the fieldwork and reporting for the archaeological assessment are consistent with the ministry's 2011 *Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists* and the terms and conditions for archaeological licences. This report has been entered into the Ontario Public Register of Archaeological Reports. Please note that the ministry makes no representation or warranty as to the completeness, accuracy or quality of reports in the register.

Should you require any further information regarding this matter, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Wai Hadlari Archaeology Review Officer

cc. Archaeology Licensing Officer
Phil White, Thomas Cavanagh Construction Ltd.
TBD TBD, Lanark County Planning Department

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In no way will the ministry be liable for any harm, damages, costs, expenses, losses, claims or actions that may result: (a) if the Report(s) or its recommendations are discovered to be inaccurate, incomplete, misleading or fraudulent; or (b) from the issuance of this letter. Further measures may need to be taken in the event that additional artifacts or archaeological sites are identified or the Report(s) is otherwise found to be inaccurate, incomplete, misleading or fraudulent.